CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2

0508 FIRST LANGUAGE ARABIC

0508/02 Paper 2 (Writing), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2013 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

For each composition, marks are awarded in two categories, as follow

- the first mark, out of 12, is for Style and Accuracy. See Table A;
- the second mark, out of 13, is for Content and Structure. S depending on whether the composition is argumentative, descripti

TABLE A – STYLE AND ACCURACY

Band 1	**11–12**	• Fluent; variety of well made sentences, includi sentences where appropriate, used to achieve • Wide, consistently effective range of vocabula ambitious words. • Assured use of grammar and punctuation, spelling accurate.
Band 2	**9–10**	• Mostly fluent; sentences correctly constructed, including a variety of complex sentences. • Vocabulary often effective, sometimes complex, mostly varied. • Grammatically correct; punctuation mostly correct between and within sentences: very occasional spelling mistakes.
Band 3	**7–8**	• Occasional fluency; sentences of some variety and complexity, correctly constructed. • Appropriate and accurate vocabulary with occasional examples of choice made to communicate precise meaning or to give interest. • Simple grammatical terms correct; sentence separation mostly correct but other forms of punctuation sometimes inconsistently used; occasional spelling mistakes – but no error of any sort impedes communication.
Band 4	**5–6**	• Sentences tend to be simple and patterns repetitive. Where more complicated structures are attempted there is lack of clarity and inaccuracy. • Vocabulary communicates general meaning accurately. • Some errors of punctuation including sentence separation; several spelling and grammatical errors, rarely serious.
Band 5	**3–4**	• There may be the occasional grammatically complex sentence but mostly these are simple and repetitively joined by 'and', 'but' and 'so', with other conjunctions being used ineffectively, if at all. • Vocabulary communicates simple details/facts accurately. • Many errors of punctuation, grammar and spelling, but the overall meaning is never in doubt.
Band 6	**1–2**	• Sentences are simple and sometimes faulty and/or rambling sentences obscure meaning. • Vocabulary is limited and may be inaccurate. • Errors of punctuation, grammar and spelling may be serious enough to impede meaning.
	0	• Meaning of the writing is often lost because of poor control of language; errors of punctuation, grammar and spelling too intrusive to award a mark in Band 6.

TABLE B1 – ARGUMENTATIVE/DISCURSIVE TASKS

Band 1	**11–13**	• There is a consistent quality of well developed times complex argument. • Each stage is linked to and follows the precedi paragraphs are soundly sequenced.
Band 2	**9–10**	• Each stage of the argument is defined and de the explanation may not be consistent. • The stages follow in a generally cohesive prog mostly well sequenced, although some may fi begin.
Band 3	**7–8**	• There is a series of relevant points and a clear attempt is made to develop some of them. These points are relevant, straightforward and logical/coherent. • Repetition is avoided, but the order of the stages in the overall argument can be changed without adverse effect. The sequence of the sentences within paragraphs is satisfactory, although opportunities to link ideas may not be taken.
Band 4	**5–6**	• Mainly relevant points are made and they are developed partially with some effectiveness. • The overall argument shows signs of structure but may be sounder at the beginning than at the end. There may be some repetition. It is normally possible to follow sequences of ideas, but there may be intrusive ideas or misleading sentences.
Band 5	**3–4**	• A few relevant points are made and although they are expanded into paragraphs, development is very simple and not always logical. • Overall structure lacks a sense of sequencing. Paragraphs used only for obvious divisions. It is sometimes possible to follow sequencing of sentences within paragraphs.
Band 6	**1–2**	• A few points are discernible but any attempt to develop them is very limited. • Overall argument only progresses here and there and the sequence of sentences is poor.
	0	• Rarely relevant, little material, and presented in a disorderly structure. Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6.

TABLE B2 – DESCRIPTIVE TASKS

Band 1	**11–13**	• There are many well defined, well developed i complex atmospheres with a range of details. • Overall structure is provided through devices s writer, the creation of a short time span, or the tension. Focus is description (not storytelling) sequence of sentences makes the picture clea
Band 2	**9–10**	• There is a good selection of interesting ideas details. • These are formed into an overall picture of some clarity, largely consistent. There may be occasional repetition and opportunities for development or the provision of detail may be missed. Sentences are often well sequenced and the description is often effective.
Band 3	**7–8**	• There is a selection of effective ideas and images that are relevant to the topic and which satisfactorily address the task. An attempt is made to create atmosphere and to provide some details. • The description provides a series of points rather than a sense of their being combined to make an overall picture, but some of the ideas are developed successfully, albeit straightforwardly. Some sentences are well sequenced.
Band 4	**5–6**	• Some relevant and effective ideas are provided and occasionally developed a little, perhaps as a narrative. There is some feeling of atmosphere, but most of the writing is about events or description of objects or people. • There is some overall structure, but the writing may lack direction and intent. There may be interruptions in the sequence of sentences and/or some lack of clarity.
Band 5	**3–4**	• Content is relevant but lacking in scope or variety. Opportunities to provide development and detail are frequently missed. • Overall structure, though readily discernible, lacks form and dimension. The reliance on identifying events, objects and/or people sometimes leads to a sequence of sentences without progression.
Band 6	**1–2**	• Some relevant facts are identified, but the overall picture is unclear and lacks development. • There are examples of sequenced sentences, but there is also repetition and muddled ordering.
	0	• Rarely relevant, little material and presented in a disorderly structure. Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6.

TABLE B3 – NARRATIVE TASKS

Band 1	**11–13**	• The narrative is complex and sophisticated an sub-texts, flashbacks and time lapses. Cogen necessary or appropriate. • The different sections of the story are carefully carefully managed. Sentence sequences are produce effects such as the building up of ten of events.
Band 2	**9–10**	• The writing develops some features that are o not consistently so. Expect the use of detail and some build-up of character or setting. • The writing is orderly and the beginning and ending (where required) are satisfactorily managed. The reader is aware of the climax even if it is not managed completely effectively. The sequencing of sentences provides clarity and engages the reader in events or atmosphere.
Band 3	**7–8**	• A straightforward story (or part of story) with satisfactory identification of features such as character and setting. • While opportunities for appropriate development of ideas are sometimes missed, the overall structure is competent, and features of a developed narrative are evident. Sentences are usually sequenced to narrate events.
Band 4	**5–6**	• A relevant response to the topic, but largely a series of events with occasional details of character and setting. • The overall structure is sound although there are examples where a particular section is too long or too short. A climax is identified but is not effectively described or led up to. Sentence sequences narrate events and occasionally contain intrusive facts or misleading ideas.
Band 5	**3–4**	• A simple narrative with a beginning, middle and end (where appropriate). It may consist of simple, everyday happenings or unlikely, un-engaging events. • Unequal or inappropriate importance is given to the sections of the story. Dialogue that has no function may be used or over-used. There is no real climax. Sentence sequences are used only to link simple series of events.
Band 6	**1–2**	• Stories are very simple and narrate events indiscriminately. Endings are simple and lack effect. • The shape of the narrative is unclear; some of the content has no relevance to the plot. Sequences of sentences are sometimes poor, leading to a lack of clarity.
	0	• Rarely relevant, little material, and presented in a disorderly structure. Not sufficient to be placed in Band 6.