Cambridge Assessment International Education
Cambridge International General Certificate of Secondary Education

FIRST LANGUAGE ENGLISH **0500/32**

Paper 3 Directed Writing and Composition **May/June 2019**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2019 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© UCLES 2019

PUBLISHED

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

© UCLES 2019

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5: Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).
GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6: Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2019

Note: All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated. Nonetheless, the content must be clearly related to and derived from the passage.

Section 1: Directed Writing

Question 1

This question tests writing assessment objectives W1 to W5 (15 marks)

W1 articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined
W2 sequence facts, ideas and opinions
W3 use a range of appropriate vocabulary
W4 use register appropriate to audience and context
W5 make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar

and reading assessment objectives R1 to R3 (10 marks)

R1 demonstrate understanding of explicit meanings
R2 demonstrate understanding of implicit meanings and attitudes
R3 analyse, evaluate and develop facts, ideas and opinions

© UCLES 2019

Question	Answer	Marks
1	**Imagine you are the headteacher who received the letter.** **<u>Write a letter</u> in response to the parent. In your letter, you should:** • **discuss and evaluate the issues raised by the parent in the letter** • **give your own views about teaching life skills <u>and</u> whether you think these lessons should replace other subjects in the curriculum.** **Base your letter on what you have read in the parent's letter, but be careful to use your own words. Address each of the bullet points.** **Begin your letter, 'Dear A. Ifan …'** **Write about 250 to 350 words.** **<u>Up to 10 marks are available for the content of your answer, and up to 15 marks for the quality of your writing.</u>**	**25**

Question	Answer	Marks
1	Notes on task: **Responses *might* use the following ideas:** **A1 Ideas raised in the passage/some possible evaluation of them** • academic success isn't enough for students leaving home/perhaps schools are too narrowly focused on academics • parents create 'useless' people/raises a question about parents' ambitions for their children • the daughter has no practical skills/perhaps her academic success is compromised because of it • her lack of financial acumen leads to wasting money/university education costs parents a lot of money which children then waste • the son lacks independence because he relies on family for practical skills/perhaps he's just lazy and the parents indulge him? • Surprising that the son lives in unhygienic squalor considering his chosen career • he doesn't realise some basic financial issues and wastes money/but his parents bail him out by doing things for him so he never needs to learn • parents have little time to teach such skills and their methods of accounting don't appeal to young people/could be passing the buck to school though? • students don't need such skills at home/maybe they would need them if they weren't over-indulged • the aspiring doctor thinks he can pay others to do these tasks/parents seem to have a similar attitude in that they expect others to do their work for them • the list of 'life skills' the parent lists are useful/wouldn't take long to learn and other schools find time to teach them replacing subjects considered less necessary might work/something would be lost and it would be difficult to agree on what	

© UCLES 2019

Question	Answer	Marks
1	**A2 Own views which might show evaluation** • the parent's attitude is contradictory – they seem to want their children to be independent but also indulge them • the children's attitude to money suggests they are selfish rather than lacking in financial knowledge • candidates may react negatively to the descriptions of the parent's children, suggesting they really are 'useless' if they can't do these basic things • others may agree that academic pressures mean there's not much time for 'life skills' • whose job is it to teach children these skills? There may be some evaluative discussion on this question • intelligent people will learn these skills when they need them and don't need to have school time taken up on them • the younger generation would be quick to 'google' or 'Youtube' tutorials to explain these skills • making mistakes is an effective trigger to learning: would the daughter burn a favourite outfit a second time? At a higher level, trial and error is discussed as an effective means to gain the skills for adult life and maturity • spending valuable class time on lessons on cleaning and using simple equipment is a waste of time, but the learning of more advanced skills such as first aid, financial planning, changing plugs and tyres could be integrated into existing lessons such as Maths, Physics and Biology without undermining their academic status The discriminator is the evaluation of the arguments, which requires candidates to draw inferences and make judgements about the validity of the issues raised in the passage. Perceptive responses may weigh up the different arguments about teaching life skills, whether these lessons should replace other subjects, and this should affect the decision. Ideas and opinions must be derived from the passage, developing its claims and assessing their implications with clear and persuasive arguments.	

© UCLES 2019

Marking criteria for Section 1, Question 1.

Table A, Writing:
Use the following table to give a mark out of 15 for Writing.

Band 7 13–15	Consistent sense of audience; authoritative and appropriate style. Fluent, varied sentences; wide range of vocabulary. Strong sense of structure, paragraphing and sequence. Spelling, punctuation and grammar almost always accurate.
Band 6 10–12	Sense of audience mostly secure; there is evidence of style and fluency; sentences and vocabulary are effective. Secure overall structure; mostly well-sequenced. Spelling, punctuation and grammar generally accurate.
Band 5 7–9	Occasional sense of audience; mostly written in correctly structured sentences; vocabulary may be plain but adequate for the task; mostly quite well structured. Minor, but more frequent, errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
Band 4 5–6	Inconsistent style; simple or faultily constructed sentences; vocabulary simple; basic structure. Frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
Band 3 3–4	Inappropriate expression; the response is not always well sequenced. Errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impair communication.
Band 2 1–2	Expression unclear; flawed sentence construction and order. Persistent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impede communication.
Band 1 0	The response cannot be understood.

© UCLES 2019

Table B, Reading:
Use the following table to give a mark out of 10 for Reading.

Band 6 9–10	Gives a thorough, perceptive, convincing response. Reads effectively between the lines. Shows understanding by developing much of the reading material and assimilating it into a response to the task.
Band 5 7–8	Some evidence of evaluation, engaging with a few of the main points with success. Uses reading material to support the argument. Occasionally effective development of ideas from the passages.
Band 4 5–6	Reproduces a number of points to make a satisfactory response. The response covers the material adequately, but may miss opportunities to develop it relevantly or at length.
Band 3 3–4	Selects points from the passages rather literally and/or uses the material thinly. Points should be connected.
Band 2 1–2	Parts of the response are relevant, though the material may be repeated or used inappropriately.
Band 1 0	There is very little or no relevance to the question or to the passages, or the response copies unselectively or directly from the passages.

© UCLES 2019

Section 2: Composition

Questions 2(a), 2(b), 3(a) and 3(b)

This question tests writing assessment objectives W1 to W5 (25 marks)

W1 articulate experience and express what is thought, felt and imagined
W2 sequence facts, ideas and opinions
W3 use a range of appropriate vocabulary
W4 use register appropriate to audience and context
W5 make accurate use of spelling, punctuation and grammar

© UCLES 2019

Question	Answer	Marks
2, 3	**Write about 350 to 450 words on <u>one</u> of the following questions.** **<u>Up to 13 marks are available for the content and structure of your answer, and up to 12 marks for the style and accuracy of your writing.</u>** **<u>Descriptive Writing</u>** **2(a) Describe the few minutes just before and after an outdoor event is ruined by the weather.** **<u>OR</u>** **2(b) Imagine you find yourself accidentally locked in a shopping centre or mall after it has closed for the day. Describe what you see and hear, and your thoughts and feelings as you wait to be released.** **<u>OR</u>** **<u>Narrative Writing</u>** **3(a) Write a story with the title, 'Moving On'.** **<u>OR</u>** **3(b) Write a story which includes the words, 'I smiled when I recalled the events of the day.'** **Marking criteria for Section 2, Questions 2(a), 2(b), 3(a) and 3(b)** Use table A to give a mark out of 13 for content and structure, and table B to give a mark out of 12 for style and accuracy.	**25**

Marking criteria for Section 2, Questions 2(a), 2(b), 3(a) and 3(b)
Use table A to give a mark out of 13 for content and structure, and table B to give a mark out of 12 for style and accuracy.

© UCLES 2019

Table A, Composition: Content and structure

	General criteria	Specific criteria	
		Descriptive Writing	**Narrative Writing**
Band 7 11–13	**W1:** Content is complex, sophisticated and realistic. **W2:** Overall structure is secure and the constituent parts well balanced and carefully managed.	Many well-defined and developed ideas and images create a convincing, original, overall picture with varieties of focus.	The plot is convincing with elements of fiction such as description, characterisation and climax, and with cogent detail.
Band 6 9–10	**W1:** Content develops some interesting and realistic features in parts of the writing. **W2:** Writing is orderly, and beginnings and endings are satisfactorily managed.	Frequent, well-chosen images and details give an impression of reality, although the overall picture is not consistent.	The plot incorporates some interesting features, but not consistently so: the reader may be aware of the creation of suspense and a sense of climax.
Band 5 7–8	**W1:** Content is straightforward with ideas, features and images that satisfactorily address the task; some opportunities for development are taken. **W2:** Overall structure is competent and some sentences are well sequenced.	A selection of relevant ideas, images and details addresses the task, even where there is a tendency to write a narrative.	The plot is straightforward and cohesive with some identification of features such as character and setting.
Band 4 5–6	**W1:** Content consists of relevant ideas that are briefly developed. **W2:** Overall structure is easily followed, though some constituent parts are too long or too short to be effective.	The task is addressed with a series of ordinary details, which may be more typical of a narrative.	Recording of relevant but sometimes unrealistic events outweighs other desirable elements of narrative fiction.
Band 3 3–4	**W1:** Content is simple, and the presentation of ideas and events may only be partially credible. **W2:** Overall structure is recognisable though paragraphing is inconsistent and sequences of sentences insecure.	Where a narrative is written, the recording of events may preclude the use of sufficient descriptive detail.	The plot is a simple narrative that may consist of events that are only partially credible or which are presented with partial clarity.

© UCLES 2019

	General criteria	Specific criteria	
		Descriptive Writing	**Narrative Writing**
Band 2 1–2	**W1:** Content is inconsistent in relevance, interest and clarity. **W2:** Structure is frequently unclear, revealing a limited grasp of purpose.	Some relevant facts are identified, but the overall picture is unclear and lacks development.	The plot lacks coherence and narrates events indiscriminately.
Band 1 0	**W1:** Content is rarely relevant and there is little material. **W2:** The structure is disorderly.	Individual ideas are not properly communicated and the effect is one of incoherence.	The plot is hard to follow and is only partially relevant.

© UCLES 2019

Table B, Composition: Style and accuracy

Band 7 11–12	Writing is consistent, stylistically fluent, linguistically strong and almost always accurate; has sense of audience. **W3:** Consistently wide range of appropriate vocabulary. **W4:** Subtle and effective sense of audience; appropriate use of varied sentence structures. **W5:** Spelling, punctuation and grammar almost always accurate.
Band 6 9–10	Writing is mostly fluent, sometimes linguistically effective and generally accurate; may have some sense of audience. **W3:** Obvious attempt to use range of vocabulary to interest the reader. **W4:** Partial or inferred sense of audience, with appropriate sentence structures. **W5:** Spelling, punctuation and grammar mainly accurate.
Band 5 7–8	Writing is clear, competent, if plain in vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors minor, but frequent. **W3:** Occasional precision and/or interest in choice of words. **W4:** Accurate if repetitive sentence structures **W5:** Minor but frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
Band 4 5–6	Writing is clear and accurate in places, and uses limited vocabulary and grammatical structures; errors occasionally serious. **W3:** Plain but mostly correct choice of words. **W4:** Correct use of simple sentence structures; some errors of sentence separation. **W5:** Frequent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.
Band 3 3–4	Writing is simple in vocabulary and grammar; overall meaning can be followed, but errors are distracting and sometimes impair communication. **W3:** Words may sometimes communicate meaning satisfactorily. **W4:** Frequent weakness in sentence structures. **W5:** Errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impair communication.

© UCLES 2019

Band 2 **1–2**	Writing is weak in vocabulary and grammar; persistent errors impede communication. **W3:** Insufficient language to carry intended meaning. **W4:** Faulty and/or rambling sentence structures. **W5:** Persistent errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar impede communication.
Band 1 **0**	Writing is impossible to follow. Language proficiency is lacking; incorrect sentences; multiple errors of spelling, punctuation and grammar.

© UCLES 2019