CAMBRIDGE
International Education

Cambridge IGCSE™

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES **0457/12**

Paper 1 Written Examination **October/November 2024**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 70

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1 Components using point-based marking:

- Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

a DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)

b DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct

c DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons …).

d DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)

e DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities

f DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).

g DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)

2 Presentation of mark scheme:

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

3 Calculation questions:

- The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer
- If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
- Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
- Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

4 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Introduction

Most questions are marked holistically using levels of response mark schemes. The marks awarded for an answer are usually based on a judgement of the overall quality of the response, rather than on awarding marks for specific points and accumulating a total mark by adding points.

Inevitably, the mark scheme cannot cover all responses that candidates may make for all of the questions. In some cases, candidates may make very strong responses which the mark scheme has not predicted. These answers should nevertheless be credited according to their quality.

Levels of response

For answers marked by levels of response, the following is intended to describe the quality of the response required (level of skill that should be demonstrated) for the award of marks at different points in the mark range for the question.

In the levels of response mark scheme positive achievement is being rewarded.
For answers marked by levels of response:

(a) Marking grids describe the top of each level.
(b) **To determine the level** – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer.
(c) **To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level

Mark scheme

All the questions are based on sources which are available to candidates as an Insert to the examination paper. It is therefore very important to study this material prior to marking to become familiar with the context of the questions.

Candidates are expected to use the material in the sources to answer the questions. They may also use other material from their own experience, but this is not necessary. The examination test skills not knowledge.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Annotations

The number of ticks used does not need to tally with the mark achieved. The mark scheme indicates the most likely annotation to be used with each question. Annotation should be within the candidate's text or the right-hand margin of the response as it appears in the marking screen.

Annotation	Meaning
	Correct, creditworthy point
Eval	Evaluation
	Development
	Benefit of doubt given
	Incorrect point
	Unclear/confused point
J	Justification
	Omission mark, more required
I	Interpretation
Vertical wavy line	Irrelevant
	Highlighter
REP	Repetition
	Comment Box
NAQ	Not answered question
SEEN	Confirms page or response seen by examiner

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	**From Source 1, what percentage of the total energy supply comes from hydroelectric power in Norway?** **Assessment objective: identification of information** **Main Annotations**  Candidates should identify the following from Source 1: • 45 per cent (%) 1 mark should be awarded for identifying the above. *Further guidance – the only acceptable answer is listed above. However, candidates may use their own words. Omitting the term 'per cent' or the '%' sign is acceptable.*	**1**
1(b)	**From Source 2, identify <u>two</u> advantages of hydroelectric power.** **Assessment objective: identification of information** **Main Annotations**  Candidates may identify the following advantages from source 2: • Waste free • No polluting emissions • Water for local irrigation • Access to clean drinking water • Sustainable Energy • Creates places for recreation 1 mark should be awarded for each correctly identified advantage. *Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.*	**2**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	**Which advantage of hydroelectric power do you think is the most important? Explain why.** **Assessment objective: creating a line of argument using reasons and evidence** **Main Annotations**  Indicative content: Candidates may identify one of the following advantages: • Waste free and no polluting emissions • Water for local irrigation • Access to clean drinking water • Sustainable Energy • Can create places for recreation Candidates may give the following reasons, any of which could be used, to justify their choice: • Has greatest impact • Affects most people • Ethically or morally most justifiable • Has multiple positive consequences • Creates spiral of virtue • Other reasonable response The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 3 (3 marks) Good response** Clear explanation of importance explicitly linked to the selected effect. **Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response** Some explanation of importance. The link between the explanation and an effect may be implicit/unclear at times. **Level 1 (1 mark) Limited response** An effect is identified but with limited or no explanation of importance. Often unclear. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material.	**3**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	**Explain why the development of hydroelectric power is an important local issue.** **Assessment objective: creating a line of argument using reasons and evidence** **Main Annotations**  Indicative content: • Damage to local environment • Damage to fishing stock and animal habitats • Possibility of floods or droughts • Increase in taxes to cover building and maintenance • Limited locations for building • Waste free and no polluting emissions • Water for local irrigation • Access to clean drinking water • Sustainable energy • Creates places for recreation The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response** Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of importance. The local dimension is explicit. **Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response** Some reasoned explanation of importance. The local dimension is implicit at times. **Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response** Limited reasoning and explanation of importance. Explanations are partial, generalised and lack clarity. The local dimension is not apparent. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material.	**6**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	**'Using renewable energy has improved my business.'** **What are the strengths and weaknesses of the argument supporting this claim?** **Assessment objective: evaluation of argument, including reasoning, language and evidence** **Main Annotations**  Indicative content: Candidates are likely to discuss the following evaluative points relating to Source 3 **Strengths:** • States own experience • Explained the investment made in renewable energy • Refers to workers in the business • Gives examples to illustrate points • Mentions job prospects locally and families **Weaknesses:** • Lacks details on experience and where business is • No statistical details about costs or bills • Generalises about jobs • No details about the award The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response** Clearly explained and credible evaluation. Evaluation is clearly focused on the evidence and/or reasons, the strengths and weaknesses are explained. There is usually some clear reference to the evidence and/or reasons in the source e.g. quotation/summary. Usually, two (or more) developed/explained/supported points, with some other undeveloped points; or a wide range (usually four or more) of undeveloped points.	**6**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	**Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response** Some strengths and/or weaknesses of the reasons/evidence are identified or described briefly but not usually explained; there may be some lack of clarity and the answer may be difficult to follow at times. Usually, the response contains one (or more) developed/explained/supported point(s), with some other undeveloped points. Some (usually two or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to reach this level. **Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response** Limited evaluation of the reasons/evidence which is unsupported and asserted. The response is unclear, tangential, or generalised. Usually contains one or two undeveloped points. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
2(b)	**'Employees are happier in a sustainable workplace.'** **How could you test this claim?** **You should consider the types of information, sources of evidence or methods you might use.** **Assessment objective: designing research to test a claim** **Main Annotations**  Indicative content Candidates are likely to discuss the following ways to test the claim stated in Source 3. **Possible types of information:** • Compare statistics/information on jobs • Data from local community • Individual testimony or personal experience. • Material from job centres • Other relevant response. **Possible sources of information:** • National and local governments and their departments • Experts in work placements • Research reports • Pressure groups, charities and NGOs • Media and the internet. • Other relevant response.	**8**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	**Possible methods:** • Review of secondary sources/literature/research/documents. • Interview relevant experts, job centres. • Internet search. • Questionnaires. • Surveys. • Other relevant response. The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 4 (7–8 marks) Very good response** Clearly reasoned and credible explanation of a range of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains three (or more) developed/explained/supported points and may contain some undeveloped points. The response is explicitly related to testing the claim. **Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response** Reasoned and mainly credible explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains two (or more) developed/explained/supported points and may contain some undeveloped points. The response is related to testing the claim at times. **Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response** Some reasoning and explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains one (or more) developed/explained/supported point(s), and/or a range of undeveloped points. The response may lack clarity. The response is implicitly related to testing the claim. **Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response** Limited explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains one or two simple, undeveloped, and asserted points. There is little or no relevance to testing the claim and the methods, sources and types of information are generally not appropriate for the claim being tested. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material. *Further guidance – responses that do not link explicitly to the issue/context and are simply a list of research methods/sources/types of information should not score higher than Level 2.*	

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	**Identify <u>one</u> prediction from Paolo's statement. Explain why it is a prediction.** **Assessment objective: understanding and application of the term 'prediction'** **Main Annotations**  A prediction is a statement suggesting something may happen/take place in the future. Candidates may identify one of the following from Source 4. • Farmers will be moved to another area • Next week we will be sending you a leaflet. • You will all have access to a cheaper and more reliable form of energy. • Your community will be able to see the advantages • You will be able to swim in the reservoir • Farmers and landowners will make money • We will support wildlife habitats • We hope that you will support our plans Award 1 mark for correctly identifying a prediction from the list above. However, candidates may use their own words. Award 1 mark for a response that demonstrates a clear understanding of a prediction. *Further guidance – note that the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.*	**2**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)	**Why might Adriana's statement be biased?** **Assessment objective: understanding and application of the term 'bias'** **Main Annotations**  Bias is a tendency or prejudice for or against something; an attitude of strong like or dislike; an unbalanced approach not prepared to consider counter-arguments or other points of view. Candidates are likely to identify the following possible reasons for bias from Adriana's statement: • Adriana doesn't want change and sees the dam negatively • We all depend on the fish in the river for our livelihood. • Money for her family – potential vested interest • Reference to grandfather and influence of the family • My family has fished and hunted here for many years • Part of family heritage The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 3 (3 marks) Good response** A clear and full explanation of why the statement may be biased supported with evidence from the statement. The response contains two (or more) credible points. **Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response** A basic or partial understanding/explanation of why the statement may be biased. The response contains one credible point. **Level 1 (1 mark) Limited response** A limited or unclear explanation of why the statement may be biased. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material. *Further guidance – note that the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.*	**3**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	**Which argument is more convincing, Paolo's or Adriana's?** **Assessment objective: analyse and evaluate evidence and reasoning used to support claims and perspectives** **Main Annotations**  **J** Indicative content Candidates are expected to evaluate the arguments presented in Source 4 and compare their effectiveness. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most convincing argument. Candidates may support their judgement by considering: **Strength of reasoning:** • Logic • Structure • Balance • Claims **Use of language:** • Tone – emotive, exaggerated, precise • Clarity **Evidence:** • Range of information and depth • Relevance • Sufficiency – sample • Source – media; internet • Date – how recent • Different types of information – fact, opinion, value, anecdote • Testimony – from experience and expert **Sources of bias** • Local interest • Economic • Personal values • Experience **Likely consequences of the ideas presented** **Acceptability of their values to others** • How likely other people are to agree with their perspective/view	**15**

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	The following levels of response should be used to award marks: **Level 5 (13–15 marks) Very good response** Wide range of clear, credible and well explained points about which argument is more convincing. Coherent, structured evaluation of both arguments with clear comparison. The response usually contains three (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; clear, frequent reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas. A supported judgement is reached. **Level 4 (10–12 marks) Good response** A range of clear, explained points about which argument is more convincing. Evaluation of both arguments, with some comparison. The response usually contains two (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; some reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas. A judgment is reached. **Level 3 (7–9 marks) Reasonable response** Some strengths and/or weaknesses of the arguments are identified or described but mainly not explained. Evaluative points are partially supported or simply described/asserted. Some discussion of both arguments, with an attempt at comparison, **or** an evaluation of only one argument. The response usually contains one (or more) developed evaluative points, possibly with some undeveloped points; three (or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. Material from the sources may be used occasionally to support the evaluation. The response may lack some clarity and be difficult to follow at times. A judgement is reached.	

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	**Level 2 (4–6) Basic response** A few points about which argument is more convincing. There may be only one argument considered in any detail, with little attempt at comparison. Evaluative points are mainly asserted or descriptive, not explained and lack clarity/relevance at times. The response usually contains two (or more) undeveloped points. A judgement may be reached. **Level 1 (1–3 marks) Limited response** Limited and unsupported points about which argument is more convincing. The response considers the arguments briefly and/or tangentially. There is little clarity. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding or simply agree/disagree with the arguments presented. The response may not contain any clear evaluative points. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material. *Further Guidance* *Responses that give a very good evaluation of only one argument may achieve Level 3 but no higher.*	

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
4	**A government wants to increase the use of renewable energy sources.** **The following actions have been suggested:** • **Promoting renewable energy through advertising.** • **Building more renewable energy sources.** • **Educating communities about climate change.** **Which <u>one</u> of these actions would you recommend to the government, and why?** **In your answer, you should:** • **state your recommendation** • **give reasons and evidence to support your recommendation** • **use the material in the sources and/or any of your own ideas** • **consider different arguments and perspectives.** **Assessment Objective: develop a line of reasoning to support an argument, perspective or course(s) of action** **Main Annotations J**  Indicative content: Candidates are expected to make a judgement about the issue i.e increasing the use of renewable energy, using reasons and evidence to justify their opinion. Candidates may use and develop the material found in Sources 1 to 4 but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without adaptation. Other material may be introduced but is not necessary to gain full marks. Candidates may consider some of the following: • Reference to scale of impact of increasing the use of renewable energy • Reference to different consequences and implications for individuals/groups/government. • How long it might take to make a difference. • Barriers to change. • The power of collective action, e.g. Cooperation between countries over funding for renewable energy • The influence of individuals and groups on decision making. • The role of vested interests and power differences. • Potential conflicts of interest. • Difficulties in planning and coordinating improvements. • Cost and access to resources to implement change. • Other reasonable response. The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	**24**

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024

Question	Answer	Marks
4	**Level 5 (20–24 marks) Very good response** Clear, well supported reasoning about reducing pollution in cities Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered. The response contains a wide range of clearly reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, usually with four (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points. The response is very well-structured and a clear judgement is reached. **Level 4 (15–19 marks) Good response** Clear, supported reasoning about reducing pollution in cities Different arguments and perspectives are considered. The response contains a range of reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, usually with three (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points. A wide range (five or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. The response is generally well-structured and a judgement is reached. **Level 3 (10–14 marks) Reasonable response** Some supported reasoning about the reducing pollution in cities Different arguments and perspectives are included. The response usually contains some reasons and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with some explanation, usually with two (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points. A range (four or more) of undeveloped but appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. The response is structured but at times difficult to follow and an attempt is made to give an overall judgement. **Level 2 (5–9 marks) Basic response** Basic reasoning about reducing pollution in cities. Different arguments are included; perspectives, if present, are unclear. The response usually contains a few reasons and/or evidence, mainly asserted with little explanation. The response usually has one (or more) developed point(s) or a few (three or more) undeveloped points. The response lacks structure and is difficult to follow though a basic judgement may be attempted. **Level 1 (1–4 marks) Limited response** Limited and unsupported reasoning about the topic in general. Usually, one or two undeveloped points. Different arguments may be included. **Level 0 (0 marks)** No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2024