CAMBRIDGE
International Education

# Cambridge IGCSE™

**GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES** **0457/11**

Paper 1 Written Exam **May/June 2025**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 70

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2025 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.



© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

## Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

## Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

**1 Components using point-based marking:**

- Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

From this it follows that we:

**a** DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)

**b** DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct

**c** DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons …).

**d** DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)

**e** DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities

**f** DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).

**g** DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)

**2 Presentation of mark scheme:**

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

**3 Calculation questions:**

- The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer
- If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
- Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
- Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

**4 Annotation:**

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

## Annotations guidance for centres

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

## Annotations

| Annotation | Meaning |
|---|---|
|  | Correct, creditworthy point |
|  | Incorrect point |
|  | Unclear point |
| EXP | Explanation |
| EVAL | Evaluation |
| E | Evidence (from source or own knowledge) |
| J | Judgement |
| M | Methods of Research |
| P | Perspective |
| R | Reason/Reasoning |
| BOD | Benefit of doubt given |
| ^ | Omission mark, more required |
|  | Not Relevant |
| REP | Repetition |
| NAQ | Not Answered Question |
| SEEN | Page or response seen by examiner |
| Highlighter | Identification of a point |

## Assessment objectives

### AO1 Research, analysis and evaluation

- design, carry out and evaluate research into current global issues, their causes and consequences and possible course(s) of action
- use evidence to support claims, arguments and perspectives
- identify and analyse issues, arguments and perspectives
- analyse and evaluate the evidence and reasoning used to support claims, arguments and perspectives
- analyse and evaluate sources and/or processes to support research, arguments and perspectives
- develop a line of reasoning to support an argument, a perspective or course(s) of action.

## Introduction

Most questions are marked holistically using levels of response mark schemes. The marks awarded for an answer are usually based on a judgement of the overall quality of the response, rather than on awarding marks for specific points and accumulating a total mark by adding points.

Inevitably, the mark scheme cannot cover all responses that candidates may make for all of the questions. In some cases candidates may make very strong responses which the mark scheme has not predicted. These answers should nevertheless be credited according to their quality.

## Levels of response

For answers marked by levels of response, the following is intended to describe the quality of the response required (level of skill that should be demonstrated) for the award of marks at different points in the mark range for the question.

In the levels of response mark scheme positive achievement is being rewarded.
For answers marked by levels of response:

a Marking grids describe the top of each level.
b **To determine the level** – start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer.
c **To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

| Descriptor | Award mark |
|---|---|
| Consistently meets the criteria for this level | At top of level |
| Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency | Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available) |
| Just enough achievement on balance for this level | Above bottom and either below middle of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available) |
| On the borderline of this level and the one below | At bottom of level |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 1(a) | **From Source 1, identify <u>one</u> method of non-violent, peaceful protest.**<br><br>Main Annotations ✔ ✘<br><br>From Source 1, candidates are expected to identify **one** of:<br><br>• protest marches<br>• campaigns<br>• non-cooperation and disobedience<br>• strikes<br>• occupation of buildings<br>• publicity stunts<br><br>Award 1 mark for the correct answer. | **1** |
| 1(b)(i) | Identify <u>one</u> example of a prediction from Source 2.<br><br>From Source 2, candidates are expected to identify one of:<br><br>• Globalisation means that these issues will affect all countries.<br>• Protest movements will grow.<br><br>Award 1 mark for a correct answer. | **1** |
| 1(b)(ii) | **Explain why the example you identified is a prediction.**<br><br>**Indicative content**<br><br>A prediction is a statement about what might happen in the future or in times to come or hasn't happened yet.<br><br>Award 2 marks for a response which clearly explains why the identified example is a prediction.<br><br>Award 1 mark if the response shows understanding of prediction but the relationship to the example is not clear.<br><br>Award 0 if there is no credible response. | **2** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>1(c)</td><td>

**Describe the perspective of the writer in Source 2.**

Main Annotations ✓ E

**Table A**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response.

<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Analysis of issues and perspectives (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Clear analysis of the source<br>• Describes a wide range of elements of the perspective.<br>• Frequent use of relevant material and examples taken from the source.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>Some analysis of the source<br>• Describes a range of elements of the perspective.<br>• Some use of relevant material and examples taken from the source.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>Limited analysis of the source<br>• Describes a limited range of elements of the perspective.<br>• Little or no use of material and examples taken from the source.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Candidates may describe the following elements of the perspective:
- Issue – global issues/global social justice; make the world a better place/create a secure future
- Values – it is important to care/not ignore global issues
- Cause – globalisation
- Consequence – survival of human race and planet threatened; affects all countries; global impact
- Action – join together to take action; protest; don't ignore the situation
- Other relevant response

</td><td>6</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>1(d)</td><td>

**Sources 1 and 2 identify some global issues.**

**Which global issue do you think is the most significant? Explain why.**

Main Annotations J R EXP

**Table B**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response.

<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Analysis of issues and perspectives (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Clear justification of an opinion<br>• The opinion is clearly explained and supported.<br>• The explanation is credible and clearly related to the identified issue.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Some justification of an opinion<br>• The opinion is explained with some support.<br>• The explanation is mainly credible and related to the identified issue.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>Partial justification of an opinion<br>• The opinion is partly explained and has minimal support.<br>• The explanation is partly related to the identified issue.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>A limited opinion<br>• The opinion is asserted with limited explanation.<br>• Any explanation may be general, tangential to the issue and lacking credibility.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Candidates may identify **one** of the following global issues:
- Unemployment and lack of economic development.
- Pandemics and inadequate health care.
- Poverty and social inequality.
- Migration and Refugees.
- War, armed conflict, and violence.
- Global warming and climate change.
- Crime and political corruption.
- Environmental damage and loss of biodiversity.

</td><td>8</td></tr>
</table>

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 1(d) | Candidates may give the following reasons to justify their opinion:<br>• Has greatest impact on people/environment/planet.<br>• Affects the most people locally, nationally, or internationally.<br>• Ethically or morally most important.<br>• Has multiple negative consequences.<br>• Creates a vicious circle.<br>• Affects other aspects of life for different groups in society.<br>• Reflects expert opinion.<br>• Is the most difficult to solve.<br>• Other relevant response. | |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>2(a)</td><td>

**Explain the strengths and weaknesses of the research outlined in Source 3.**

Main Annotations EVAL EXP

**Table C**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response.

<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Evaluate research into current global issues (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Consistently evaluative<br>• Reasoned explanation of a wide range of evaluative points, including both strengths and weaknesses.<br>• Explanations are credible and clearly related to the research.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Mainly evaluative<br>• Reasoned explanation of a range of evaluative points.<br>• Explanations are mostly credible and related to the research.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>• Partly evaluative<br>• A range of evaluative points that are mostly descriptive with little explanation.<br>• Explanations may lack some credibility and are implicitly related to the research.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>Limited evaluation<br>• A limited range of evaluative points without explanation; the research or topic in the source is only described.<br>• Evaluative points are asserted and/or not credible and/or not related to the research.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Candidates may identify the following strengths and weaknesses of the research.

</td><td>8</td></tr>
</table>

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 2(a) | Strengths:<br>• Clear, focused research question that guides the research and keeps it on track<br>• Questionnaire/survey method is relevant to the research question and will gather appropriate evidence (primary)<br>• Data gathered is measurable and can be analysed to answer the question factually/statistically in a systematic way<br>• Clear outcome that makes the answer to the research question clear<br>• Potentially a large sample that will give more representative data that is accurate<br>• Random sampling reduces bias<br>• Other relevant response.<br><br>Weaknesses:<br>• Small sample in practice due to low response rate may make data less accurate and biased<br>• Unrepresentative sample as just one protest march in one city and one country therefore data may not be valid/reliable<br>• Protest march environment may cause distraction/bias that makes results inaccurate<br>• Researcher may be in danger and not safe – breaches ethical guidelines.<br>• Some leading questions that may make responses skewed/biased<br>• Inadequate citation/referencing of research that makes checking/verification difficult<br>• Other relevant response | |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>2(b)</td><td>

**‘Marches are often reported on national news channels.’**

**Explain how this claim could be tested. You should consider the research methods and evidence that could be used.**

Main Annotations EXP M

**Table D**

Use this table to give marks for each candidate response.

<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Design research into current global issues (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>An appropriate and fully justified research design<br>• Reasoned explanation of a wide range of methods and evidence.<br>• Explanations are credible and clearly related to testing the claim/purpose of the research.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>An appropriate and justified research design<br>• Reasoned explanation of a range of methods and evidence.<br>• Explanations are credible and mostly related to testing the claim/purpose of the research.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>A partly justified research design<br>• A range of methods and/or evidence that are mostly descriptive with little explanation.<br>• Explanations may lack some credibility and/or are partly related to testing the claim/purpose of the research.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>Limited research design<br>• A limited range of methods and/or evidence without explanation; the research or topics is only described.<br>• Methods and evidence are not credible and/or not related to testing the claim/purpose of the research.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Candidates may discuss the following ways to test the claim stated in Source 3.

</td><td>8</td></tr>
</table>

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 2(b) | Methods:<br>• Interviews with experts that have ability to know/relevant information about the issue<br>• Interviews with protestors who have direct experience of the issue and provide personal testimony/first hand data<br>• Observation of different protests or action groups to gather first-hand evidence in the field<br>• Review of relevant secondary sources / literature / research / documents to provide background information/context<br>• Internet and media search to provide background information/context<br>• Questionnaires and surveys to gather quantitative data from a large representative sample<br>• Case studies of people or different types of school to provide in-depth/detailed information<br>• Other relevant response<br><br>Evidence:<br>• Statistics/information on the impact of protests on news content.<br>• Individual testimony or personal experience.<br>• Material from pressure groups / charities / governments / international organisations concerned about food supply.<br>• Primary and secondary research data and information.<br>• Quantitative and qualitative research data and information.<br>• Research reports.<br>• Expert testimony.<br>• Other relevant response. | |

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>
Which argument is more convincing, Aput's or Panuk's?<br><br>
Your answer should:<br><br>
• consider both arguments<br>
• evaluate their reasoning, evidence and use of language<br>
• support your judgement with their words and ideas.<br><br>
Main Annotations J R EXP E<br><br>
Tables E and F<br><br>
Use these tables to give marks for each candidate response.<br><br>
Table E
<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Analysis (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Consistently analytic throughout and fully supported<br>• Analyses a wide range of aspects of both arguments.<br>• Frequent use of relevant material taken from the source.<br>• Clear and explicit comparison of the two arguments.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Mainly analytic and supported<br>• Analyses a range of aspects of both arguments.<br>• Some use of relevant material taken from the source.<br>• Clear comparison of the two arguments.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>Partly analytic and descriptive with some support<br>• Analyses a limited range of aspects of both arguments.<br>• Occasional use of material taken from the source.<br>• Implied comparison by simple juxtaposition of the two arguments.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>• Descriptive and unsupported<br>• Analyses a limited range of aspects of one argument.<br>• Little or no use of material taken from the source.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>
</td><td>16</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>

**Table F**

<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Evaluation (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Consistently evaluative throughout and fully explained<br>• Detailed and reasoned explanation of a wide range of evaluative points throughout the response.<br>• Both reasoning and evidence within the arguments in the source are evaluated explicitly.<br>• Clear, supported judgement consistent with the candidate’s argument.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Mainly evaluative and explained<br>• Reasoned explanation of a range of evaluative points within most of the response.<br>• Reasoning and/or evidence within the arguments in the source are evaluated.<br>• Judgement generally consistent with the candidate’s argument.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
<tr><td>2</td><td>Partly evaluative with little explanation<br>• A limited range of evaluative points that are mostly descriptive and/or asserted with little explanation.<br>• Reasoning and/or evidence within the arguments in the source are mostly described.<br>• Judgement lacks some clarity and may be partly inconsistent with the candidate’s argument.</td><td>3–4</td></tr>
<tr><td>1</td><td>Descriptive without explanation<br>• One or two evaluative points that are asserted, tangential or not relevant.<br>• The topic or the arguments in the source are described.<br>• Judgement is unclear and inconsistent with the candidate’s argument or may not be included.</td><td>1–2</td></tr>
<tr><td>0</td><td>No creditable response</td><td>0</td></tr>
</table>

**Indicative content**

Candidates are expected to evaluate the arguments presented in Source 4. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most convincing argument. Candidates may support their judgement by considering some of the following aspects of the arguments:
Strength of reasoning:
- logic
- structure
- balance
- claims

</td><td></td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>
Use of language:<br>
• tone – emotive, exaggerated, precise, measured<br>
• clarity<br><br>
Evidence:<br>
• range of information and depth<br>
• relevance<br>
• sufficiency – sample size<br>
• source – media; internet<br>
• date – how recent<br>
• different types of information – fact, opinion, value, anecdote<br>
• testimony – from experience and expert<br><br>
Sources of bias or vested interest:<br>
• local interest<br>
• economic<br>
• personal values<br>
• experience<br><br>
Possible consequences of the ideas or actions presented<br><br>
Acceptability of their values to others:<br>
• how likely other people are to agree with their perspective/view<br><br>
Other relevant responses should be credited.<br><br>
Examples of evaluative points candidates are likely to discuss are outlined as follows.<br><br>
<table>
<tr><th>Argument</th><th>Strengths</th><th>Weaknesses</th></tr>
<tr><td>Aput</td><td>• Uses relevant examples as evidence.<br>• Clear, logical argument.<br>• References historical. evidence/examples.<br>• Refers to research that is partly cited and referenced.<br>• Respectful language<br>• Measured language.<br>• Other relevant response.</td><td>• Not much evidence.<br>• Uses value judgements that others may not agree with.<br>• Research not fully referenced or cited.<br>• Some assertion.<br>• Not balanced.<br>• Other relevant response.</td></tr>
</table>
</td><td></td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th>Argument</th><th>Strengths</th><th>Weaknesses</th></tr>
<tr><td>Panuk</td><td>• Passionate about subject.<br>• Balanced argument.<br>• Refers to experience of father as evidence.<br>• Acknowledges counterarguments.<br>• Other relevant response.</td><td>• Few examples.<br>• Little evidence.<br>• Little expert opinion.<br>• Some assertion.<br>• Some disrespectful language.<br>• Potential for bias and vested interest.<br>• Other relevant response.</td></tr>
</table>
</td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>AO1 Analysis</td><td>8</td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td>AO1 Evaluation</td><td>8</td></tr>
</table>

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>
An environmental action group wants to encourage action on global warming.<br><br>
The following actions are being considered:<br><br>
• Organise a peaceful protest march.<br>
• Write to the government.<br>
• Promote the issue on social media.<br><br>
Which <u>one</u> of these actions would you recommend to the environmental action group, and why?<br><br>
In your answer, you should:<br><br>
• state your recommendation<br>
• give reasons and evidence to support your choice<br>
• use the material in the sources and/or any of your own ideas<br>
• consider different arguments and perspectives.<br><br>
Main Annotations J R EXP P<br><br>
Tables G, H and I<br><br>
Use these tables to give marks for each candidate response.<br><br>
Table G
<table>
<tr><th></th><th>Use evidence and reasons to support arguments (AO1)</th><th></th></tr>
<tr><th>Level</th><th>Description</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Effective use of evidence and reasons to support arguments<br>• Uses a wide range of evidence and reasons to fully support the candidate’s argument.<br>• Evidence and reasons are clearly relevant to the issue in the question.</td><td>7–8</td></tr>
<tr><td>3</td><td>Mainly uses evidence and reasons to support arguments<br>• Uses a range of evidence and reasons to support the candidate’s argument.<br>• Evidence and reasons are relevant to the issue in the question.</td><td>5–6</td></tr>
</table>
</td><td>20</td></tr>
</table>

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | | |

| | Use evidence and reasons to support arguments (AO1) | |
|---|---|---|
| **Level** | **Description** | **Marks** |
| **2** | **Some use of evidence and reasons to support arguments**<br>• Uses a limited range of evidence and reasons to partly support the candidate's argument.<br>• Evidence and reasons are not always relevant to the issue in the question. | **3–4** |
| **1** | **Limited use of evidence and reasons to support arguments**<br>• Uses one or two pieces of evidence and/or reasons and these give very little support the candidate's argument.<br>• Evidence and reasons are tangential or not relevant to the issue in the question. | **1–2** |
| **0** | **No creditable response** | **0** |

**Table H**

| | Develop a line of reasoning (AO1) | |
|---|---|---|
| **Level** | **Description** | **Marks** |
| **4** | **Convincing and clear reasoning**<br>• Clear, convincing and sustained lines of reasoning related to the issue in the question and the candidate's argument.<br>• Well-structured and explicit consideration of different perspectives or actions. | **7–8** |
| **3** | **Clear reasoning**<br>• Clear lines of reasoning mainly related to the issue in the question and the candidate's argument.<br>• Structured consideration of different perspectives or actions. | **5–6** |
| **2** | **Some reasoning**<br>• Lines of reasoning are difficult to follow at times and not always related to the issue in the question and the candidate's argument.<br>• Some consideration of different perspectives or actions. | **3–4** |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>

| | Develop a line of reasoning (AO1) | |
|---|---|---|
| **Level** | **Description** | **Marks** |
| **1** | **Limited reasoning**<br>• Lines of reasoning lack clarity and are often unrelated to the issue in the question and the candidate's argument.<br>• Little or no consideration of different perspectives or actions. | **1–2** |
| **0** | **No creditable response** | **0** |

**Table I**

| | Judgements about perspectives and action (AO1) | |
|---|---|---|
| **Level** | **Description** | **Marks** |
| **4** | **Judgements are fully supported**<br>• Judgements are clearly related to the issue, clearly explained and consistent with the candidate's argument. | **4** |
| **3** | **Judgements are supported**<br>• Judgements are related to the issue, explained and consistent with the candidate's argument. | **3** |
| **2** | **Judgements are partly supported**<br>• Judgements are partly related to the issue, partly explained and not consistent with the candidate's argument. | **2** |
| **1** | **Asserted judgements**<br>• Judgements are asserted and not explained. | **1** |
| **0** | **No creditable response** | **0** |

**Indicative Content**

Candidates are expected to make a judgement about the recommended courses of action using reasons and evidence to justify their choice. Candidates may use and develop material found in Sources 1 to 4 but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without interpretation. Other material may be introduced but is not necessary to gain full marks.

</td><td></td></tr>
</table>

| Question | Answer | Marks |
|---|---|---|
| 4 | Candidates may consider some of the following:<br>• Reference to scale of impact on attitudes/action to the issue of global warming<br>• Reference to different consequences and implications for individuals/groups/government.<br>• How long it might take to make a difference.<br>• Barriers to change.<br>• The power of collective action, e.g. cooperation between people to achieve change<br>• The influence of individuals and groups on decision making.<br>• The impact of individual actions<br>• The role of vested interests and power differences.<br>• Potential conflicts of interest.<br>• Difficulties in planning and coordinating action<br>• Cost and access to resources to implement change<br>• Other reasonable response. | |
| | AO1 Use evidence and reasons to support arguments | 8 |
| | AO1 Develop a line of reasoning | 8 |
| | AO1 Judgements about perspectives and action | 4 |

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025 