Cambridge Assessment International Education

Cambridge International AS & A Level

SOCIOLOGY **9699/31**

Paper 3 Education **May/June 2021**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2021 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

© UCLES 2021

[Turn over

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2021

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1 Components using point-based marking:

- Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.

  From this it follows that we:

  (a) DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term)
  (b) DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct
  (c) DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require *n* reasons (e.g. State two reasons …).
  (d) DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.)
  (e) DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all possibilities
  (f) DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
  (g) DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion)

2 Presentation of mark scheme:

- Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point.
- Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points.
- Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).

3 Calculation questions:

- The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer
- If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
- Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
- Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

© UCLES 2021

4 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

Question	Answer	Marks
1	**Describe two examples of how schools reflect the capitalist workplace.** **Indicative content** • competition for marks/promotion at work • hierarchy in school organisation and hierarchy in the workplace • extrinsic rewards of marks/grades/qualifications and money • boredom in classroom and factory/office work • repetitive nature of school/work • supervision and control • Any other relevant example **Note**: Reward a maximum of two examples. For each example up to 2 marks are available: 1 mark for identifying an example of how schools reflect the workplace. 1 mark for showing understanding of the way this aspect of schools reflects an aspect of the capitalist workplace. (2 × 2 marks)	**4**

Question	Answer	Marks
2	**Explain two ways in which labelling can disadvantage working-class pupils.** **Indicative content** • Lower teacher expectation leading to lower pupil self-image/confidence/aspiration • Lower streaming/banding leading to less opportunity/resources • Identification with anti-school subcultures • Exclusion leading to amplification of deviance • Less chance of admission by popular schools • Any other relevant reason Reward a maximum of two reasons. Up to 4 marks are available for each reason 1 mark for making a point / identifying a reason (e.g. teachers expect less of working class). 1 mark for explaining that point/identifying a reason (e.g. this means they may not encourage them to achieve more) 1 mark for selecting relevant sociological material such as a study/concept/theory/empirical evidence to support the point (e.g. Dunne and Gazeley) 1 mark for explaining how the material supports the point (e.g. Dunne and Gazeley found that teachers "normalised" working class under-achievement but helped middle class pupils to do better). (2 × 4 marks)	8

Question	Answer	Marks
3	**'The education system reinforces value consensus in society.'** **Using sociological material, give two arguments against this view.** **Indicative content** • Different schools may promote different values, e.g. faith schools, private education • Education imposes ruling class values rather than encouraging the sharing of common values • Education in practice fails to instil values. Teachers and pupils have their own perspectives, motives and choices. • Subcultures form within the education system which have their own sets of values • In contemporary societies it is less clear what the core values are so it is difficult for the education system to promote value consensus • Any other relevant argument against the view Reward a maximum of two arguments. Up to 6 marks are available for each argument. **Note:** This question is asking for arguments against the view. There are no marks for explaining the view or giving arguments supporting the view. **Levels of response** Use the following levels to mark **each** argument. **Level 3: 5–6 marks** • One clear and developed argument against the view that the education system reinforces value consensus in society. • Sociological material, such as concepts, theories and evidence, is used to support the argument against the view. The material selected is appropriate and focused on the question with its relevance made clear. **Level 2: 3–4 marks** • One clear but underdeveloped argument against the view that the education system reinforces value consensus in society. • The material selected is appropriate but not fully focused on the question. Sociological evidence is used but its relevance to the argument is not made clear. **Level 1: 1–2 marks** • One point disagreeing with the view that the education system reinforces value consensus in society which is undeveloped or lacking clarity. • Any material selected lacks focus on the specific question. **Level 0: 0 marks** • No response worthy of credit.	**12**

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>Evaluate the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement.

Indicative content
<table>
<tr><th></th><th>In support</th><th>Against</th></tr>
<tr><td>Points</td><td>• Intelligence defined as general capacity to learn/understand/ achieve in education
• IQ tests as predictors of educational success.
• Meritocracy theory argues that as long as there is equality of opportunity then intelligence will have a strong influence on achievement.
• Evidence about the impact of different forms of intelligence: mathematical, spatial, interpersonal and emotional.
• Examples of where intelligence tests form a part of school selection. E.g. 11+ in UK, SATs in US.</td><td>• Problems of defining and measuring intelligence
• Change in IQ according to class background
• Change in IQ according to stream or school allocation
• Material, cultural and interactionist factors leading to educational underachievement.
• Structural reasons for education limiting equal opportunity based on intelligence</td></tr>
</table></td><td>26</td></tr>
</table>

<table>
<tr><th>Question</th><th>Answer</th><th>Marks</th></tr>
<tr><td>4</td><td>
<table>
<tr><th></th><th>In support</th><th>Against</th></tr>
<tr><td>Research evidence</td><td>Smith-Wooley et al,
Saunders</td><td>Gardner, Flynn, Kaplan, Murayama
Youth Cohort Study, Hubbs-Tait,
Bernstein,
Feinstein,
DfE,
Mortimore and Whitty,
Sullivan,
Douglas,
Gillborn,
Epstein,
Archer</td></tr>
<tr><td>Concepts</td><td>IQ and its types, Genetics,
Social mobility,
Meritocracy</td><td>Material and cultural deprivation,
Cultural capital,
Access and exclusion,
Labelling,
Resource allocation,
Legitimising failure.</td></tr>
</table>
The above content is indicative and other relevant approaches to the question should be rewarded appropriately.
</td><td></td></tr>
</table>

Question 4 levels of response

Level 5: 22–26 marks
- Very good knowledge and understanding of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. The response contains a wide range of detailed points with very good use of concepts and theory/research evidence.
- The material selected will be accurately interpreted, well developed and consistently applied to answering the question.
- Clear and sustained analysis with detailed and explicit evaluation of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement.

Level 4: 17–21 marks
- Good knowledge and understanding of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. The response contains a range of detailed points with good use of concepts and theory/research evidence.
- The material selected will be accurate and relevant but not always consistently applied to answering the question.
- Good analysis/evaluation of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. This may be explicit and direct but not sustained, or it will rely on a good account of other factors influencing educational achievement.

Level 3: 11–16 marks
- Reasonable knowledge and understanding of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. The response contains a narrow range of detailed points or a wider range of underdeveloped points, with some use of concepts or theory or research evidence.
- The material selected will be largely appropriate but its relevance to the question may be unclear or confused at times.
- Some analysis/evaluation of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. This may be one point explicitly used to argue for or against the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement, or a simple descriptive account of other factors influencing educational achievement.

Level 2: 6–10 marks
- Basic knowledge and understanding of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. The response contains a narrow range of underdeveloped points and may include basic references to concepts or theories or research evidence.
- The material selected is relevant to the topic but lacks focus on or relevance to the specific question.
- Any analysis or evaluation is likely to be incidental, confused or simply assertive.

Level 1: 1–5 marks
- Limited knowledge and understanding of the view that intelligence is the main factor influencing educational achievement. The response contains only assertive points or common-sense observations.

© UCLES 2021

- There is little or no application of sociological material.
- Little or no relevant analysis or evaluation.

Level 0: 0 marks
No response worthy of credit.

© UCLES 2021