CAMBRIDGE
International Education

Cambridge International AS & A Level

MEDIA STUDIES **9607/42**

Paper 4 Critical Perspectives **May/June 2025**

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2025 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

PUBLISHED

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5: Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).
GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6: Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

PUBLISHED

English & Media subject specific general marking principles
(To be read in conjunction with the Generic Marking Principles (and requiring further guidance on how to place marks within levels))

Components using level descriptors:

- We use level descriptors as a guide to broad understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a level.
- Level descriptors are a means of general guidance and should not be interpreted as hurdle statements.
- Where indicative content notes are supplied for a question, these are *not* a prescription of required content, and must not be treated as such. Alternative correct points and unexpected answers in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the knowledge and skills demonstrated.
- While we may have legitimate expectations as to the ground most answers may occupy, we must at all times be prepared to meet candidates on their chosen ground, provided it is relevant ground (e.g. clearly related to and derived from a relevant passage/text and meeting the mark scheme requirements for the question).

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Annotations guidance for centres

Examiners use a system of annotations as a shorthand for communicating their marking decisions to one another. Examiners are trained during the standardisation process on how and when to use annotations. The purpose of annotations is to inform the standardisation and monitoring processes and guide the supervising examiners when they are checking the work of examiners within their team. The meaning of annotations and how they are used is specific to each component and is understood by all examiners who mark the component.

We publish annotations in our mark schemes to help centres understand the annotations they may see on copies of scripts. Note that there may not be a direct correlation between the number of annotations on a script and the mark awarded. Similarly, the use of an annotation may not be an indication of the quality of the response.

The annotations listed below were available to examiners marking this component in this series.

Annotations

Annotation	Meaning
	Unclear expression
	Credit-worthy point made
	Unclear expression
AN	Valid point/answer
BP	Blank page
EG	Example used
K	Knowledge
NAR	Response is mainly narrative
Q	Directly addressing the question
SEEN	Page has been seen by examiner
T	Use of appropriate terminology

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Assessment Objectives

The Assessment Objectives are applied to each question. The assessment objectives for the paper are:

- **AO1** Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of media concepts, contexts and critical debates, using terminology appropriately. (60%)
- **AO2** Analyse media products, and evaluate their own work, by applying knowledge and understanding of theoretical and creative approaches, supported with relevant textual evidence. (40%)

The Level Descriptors guide examiners to an understanding of the qualities normally expected of, or typical of, work in a band. They are a means of general guidance and must not be interpreted as hurdle statements. For the purposes of standardisation of marking, they are to be used in conjunction with the Standardisation scripts discussed during the coordination meeting and with Team Leaders, as well as the question-specific notes.
The indicative content provided is for general guidance; it is not designed as prescriptions of required content and must not be treated as such. Whilst there are legitimate expectations of the content of most answers, examiners may see responses that include ideas not covered in the indicative content. For these cases, examiners should credit valid responses fairly and not penalise candidates for including valid points outside the mark scheme.

Using a banded mark scheme

Place the answer in a level first. Look for the "best fit" of the answer into a level. An answer needs to show evidence of most but not necessarily ALL of the qualities described in a level in order to be placed in that band. Then award a mark for the relative position of the answer within the level.

Higher level responses (Levels 4 & 5) will demonstrate excellent knowledge and understanding of the key concepts (AO1). They should use a wide range of terminology accurately (AO1). They should show clear understanding of how meaning is created in the text (AO2). They should support their answer with many detailed references to the text (AO2).

Middle range responses (Level 3) will demonstrate satisfactory knowledge and understanding of the key concepts – there may be uneven coverage or some misunderstanding (AO1). They should use some terminology, but not always accurately (AO1). They should show some understanding of how meaning is created in the text (AO2). They should support their answer with some references to the text (AO2).

Basic responses (Levels 1 & 2) will demonstrate basic knowledge and understanding of the key concepts –misunderstanding might be common (AO1). They might use some terminology, but rarely accurately (AO1). They will have limited understanding of how meaning is created in the text (AO2). They rarely support their answer with references to the text (AO2).

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Section A: Media debates

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	**EITHER** **Media regulation** **Assess the effectiveness of the sanctions imposed by media regulators.** <u>Indicative content</u> Candidates may draw upon a wide range of contemporary and historical case studies which can be used to support and illustrate key points. Candidates should demonstrate knowledge and understanding of contextual issues surrounding media regulation and be able to link them to their chosen case studies. Candidates may address: • Whether or not the penalties available to media regulators achieve their goals; • The purpose of different kinds of regulation – to protect against defamation, to ensure truth and accuracy, to differentiate between offence and hate speech, to ensure plurality of media ownership and voices, to protect freedom of expression, to ensure that legal processes are conducted without bias and undue influence, online safety, etc.; • The nature and remit of different regulators, e.g. Ofcom, the BBFC; • Key examples in which regulatory principles have been tested and debated;	**15**	Candidates should be given credit for their knowledge and understanding, illustrated through case study material, relevant to the question. Assessment will take place across five criteria: • Media concepts (AO1) [3 marks] • Contexts and debates (AO1) [3 marks] • Use of terminology (AO1) [3 marks] • Analysis of how meaning is created (AO2) [3 marks] • Use of examples (AO2) [3 marks] Candidates' work should be judged on each of these criteria individually and marks awarded according to the level attained. It should be noted that it is possible for a candidate to achieve a different level for each assessment criterion. This guidance should be used in conjunction with the Level Descriptors. It is not prescriptive, nor is it exclusive; examiners must be careful to reward original but well-focused answers and implicit as well development. This indicative content is intended to indicate aspects of questions that may feature in candidates' answers.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
1	• Post-Leveson Inquiry press regulation; • The effectiveness of legal regulation and how it is enforced; • Self regulation; • The challenges of online regulation, e.g. Ofcom's work on Video Sharing Platforms; • Shifts in public understanding and acceptance of language, images etc.		

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2	**OR** **Postmodern media** **'Postmodernism in the media is simply parody and pastiche.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?** <u>Indicative content</u> Candidates may draw upon a wide range of contemporary and historical case studies which can be used to support and illustrate key points. Candidates should demonstrate knowledge and understanding of postmodernism and be able to link them to their chosen case studies. Candidates may address: • The difference between parody and pastiche, and their respective purposes; • The concept of intertextuality and how relationships between texts are mobilised for particular aesthetic and/or political purposes; • How parody and pastiche are manifested in the media; • The degree to which parody and pastiche are defining qualities of postmodernism in the media; • Other qualities of postmodernism which may provide competing definitions, such as self-referentiality and other deconstructive strategies; • Key contemporary examples from film, TV, music, games and any other media or combinations of media; • Theories of reading/interpretation	**15**	Candidates should be given credit for their knowledge and understanding, illustrated through case study material, relevant to the question. Assessment will take place across five criteria: • Media concepts (AO1) [3 marks] • Contexts and debates (AO1) [3 marks] • Use of terminology (AO1) [3 marks] • Analysis of how meaning is created (AO2) [3 marks] • Use of examples (AO2) [3 marks] Candidates' work should be judged on each of these criteria individually and marks awarded according to the level attained. It should be noted that it is possible for a candidate to achieve a different level for each assessment criterion. This guidance should be used in conjunction with the Level Descriptors. It is not prescriptive, nor is it exclusive; examiners must be careful to reward original but well-focused answers and implicit as well development. This indicative content is intended to indicate aspects of questions that may feature in candidates' answers.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
2	• Postmodern thinkers including Baudrillard, Kristeva and Lyotard • Simulacra • Collapse of the grand narrative • Debatable characteristics of Postmodernism including playfulness, homage, self-referentiality, irony, fragmentation of time/ space/ identity, privileging style over substance		

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	**OR** **Power and the media** **Explain the relationship between the media and democracy.** <u>Indicative content</u> Candidates may draw upon a wide range of contemporary and historical case studies which can be used to support and illustrate key points. Candidates should demonstrate knowledge and understanding of power and the media and be able to link them to their chosen case studies. Candidates may address: • Whether or not the abundance of information in the public domain empowers people to play a genuine role in public discourse; • The nature of information produced, how it is produced, and which voices predominate; • The production of particular media narratives which invite the taking of sides; • Concepts of democracy, such as 'elite' and 'participatory'; • The ways in which the media may create social cohesion as well as division; • Jürgen Habermas's concept of the 'public sphere' and how the media might constitute this; • The role of particular media genres such as talk shows and phone-ins;	**15**	Candidates should be given credit for their knowledge and understanding, illustrated through case study material, relevant to the question. Assessment will take place across five criteria: • Media concepts (AO1) [3 marks] • Contexts and debates (AO1) [3 marks] • Use of terminology (AO1) [3 marks] • Analysis of how meaning is created (AO2) [3 marks] • Use of examples (AO2) [3 marks] Candidates' work should be judged on each of these criteria individually and marks awarded according to the level attained. It should be noted that it is possible for a candidate to achieve a different level for each assessment criterion. This guidance should be used in conjunction with the Level Descriptors. It is not prescriptive, nor is it exclusive; examiners must be careful to reward original but well-focused answers and implicit as well development. This indicative content is intended to indicate aspects of questions that may feature in candidates' answers.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
3	• The political economy of the media • Critiques of media owners' interests being evident in editorial output; • Antonio Gramsci's concept of hegemony and the media's role in manufacturing consent; • Theories of Dominant Ideologies and resistance to them		

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Section B: Media ecology

Marking criteria for Section A Question 1, Question 2 and Question 3				
AO1: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of media concepts, contexts and critical debates, using terminology appropriately. **9 marks**			**AO2: Analyse media products, and evaluate their own work, by applying knowledge and understanding of theoretical and creative approaches, to explain how meaning is created, supported with relevant textual evidence.** **6 marks**	
Media Concepts **3 marks**	**Contexts & Critical Debates** **3 marks**	**Use of Terminology** **3 marks**	**Analysis of how meaning is created, including use of theory** **3 marks**	**Use of Examples** **3 marks**
Sophisticated understanding of and insightful reference to several relevant key concepts 3 marks	Insightful understanding of the wider contexts and critical debates raised in the question 3 marks	A wide range of media terminology is used precisely and accurately, and with sophistication, to make subtle points 3 marks	Sophisticated and insightful analysis of texts from multiple case studies is used to explore the chosen area in depth Relevant theories are sophisticatedly used to explore the question 3 marks	Insightful and fully appropriate selection of examples from a wide range of texts 3 marks

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

AO1: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of media concepts, contexts and critical debates, using terminology appropriately. **9 marks**			**AO2: Analyse media products, and evaluate their own work, by applying knowledge and understanding of theoretical and creative approaches, to explain how meaning is created, supported with relevant textual evidence.** **6 marks**	
Media Concepts **3 marks**	**Contexts & Critical Debates** **3 marks**	**Use of Terminology** **3 marks**	**Analysis of how meaning is created, including use of theory** **3 marks**	**Use of Examples** **3 marks**
Clear understanding of and appropriate reference to some key concepts 2 marks	Clear understanding of the wider contexts and critical debates raised in the question 2 marks	Media terminology is used appropriately, to make clear points 2 marks	Clear analysis of texts from one or more case study is used to respond appropriately Occasional references to relevant theories, not always accurately used or understood 2 marks	Clear and appropriate selection of examples from a range of texts 2 marks
Basic understanding of and minimal reference to any key concepts 1 mark	Minimal understanding of the wider contexts or critical debates raised in the question 1 mark	Basic use of media terminology, with frequent errors which impede communication 1 mark	Basic analysis, from case studies which may not be appropriate to the question Minimal references to even basic media theory 1 mark	Basic and minimal selection of examples, may lack relevance in parts 1 mark
No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	**'The media have transformed us into global citizens.' To what extent do you agree with this statement?** <u>Indicative content</u> Material listed below demonstrates how candidates might approach the question. It is a description of possible content only. It is not prescriptive, nor is it exclusive; examiners must be careful to reward original but well-focused answers. This question is synoptic so candidates should draw on their learning throughout the course, and may also make connections between this learning and other, related, areas. The focus here is on the degree to which the media have eliminated geographical boundaries and created a global citizenship. Candidates should assess the current media landscape, with a focus on a few apposite examples, and use this to make an informed judgement about the nature and extent of this impact – global perspectives, markets, English as a lingua franca, narratives that 'travel' such as superhero franchises, global movements such as 'me too'. Alternatively candidates may argue that local and national cultures are reinforced by their media and how particular governments and organisations seek to nurture this. As long as the evidence is appropriate, any conclusion is acceptable.	**30**	Assessment will take place across five criteria: • Media concepts (AO1) [6 marks] • Contexts and debates (AO1) [6 marks] • Use of terminology (AO1) [6 marks] • Analysis of how meaning is created (AO2) [6 marks] • Use of examples (AO2) [6 marks] Candidates' work should be judged on each of these criteria individually and marks awarded according to the level attained. It should be noted that it is possible for a candidate to achieve a different level for each assessment criterion. This guidance should be used in conjunction with the Level Descriptors. It is not prescriptive, nor is it exclusive; examiners must be careful to reward original but well-focused answers and implicit as well development. This indicative content is intended to indicate aspects of questions that may feature in candidates' answers.

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Question	Answer	Marks	Guidance
4	Cultural/ economic divides still exist State Regulation diminishes under economic pressure Challenge to US dominance from China and India Political economy – costs of AI (and Crypto) both environmental and financial Threats to local/ hyperlocal media/ Globalisation permits e.g. Bollywood, Nollywood to access international audiences (and S Korea's Busan) Studio Ghibli Control of access to platforms by Broligarchs – lack of diversity as they are mainly privileged white men e.g. practice shadowbanning eg Palestine Fragile global supply lines/ trade wars including Trump on non US Films Nation state regulation of content – quotas in France/ Canada Pressure on Bytedance/ Tik tok – represented as a moral panic by US establishment Utopian view – Shirky etc Morozov Net Delusion critiques internet centrism. Online acts can lead to increased authoritarianism. Often action is mediated by Western conglomerates Kate Brown and Stephen Fairbrass define two types of citizenship – critical and model.		

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

Marking criteria for Section B Question 4				
AO1: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of media concepts, contexts and critical debates, using terminology appropriately. **18 marks**			**AO2: Analyse media products, and evaluate their own work, by applying knowledge and understanding of theoretical and creative approaches, to explain how meaning is created, supported with relevant textual evidence.** **12 marks**	
Media Concepts **6 marks**	**Contexts & Critical Debates** **6 marks**	**Use of Terminology** **6 marks**	**Analysis of how meaning is created, including use of theory** **6 marks**	**Use of Examples** **6 marks**
Sophisticated understanding of and insightful reference to several relevant key concepts 5–6 marks	Insightful understanding of the wider contexts and critical debates raised in the question 5–6 marks	A wide range of media terminology is used precisely and accurately, and with sophistication, to make subtle points 5–6 marks	Sophisticated and insightful analysis of texts from multiple case studies is used to explore the chosen area in depth Relevant theories are sophisticatedly used to explore the question 5–6 marks	Insightful and fully appropriate selection of examples from a wide range of texts 5–6 marks
Clear understanding of and appropriate reference to some key concepts 3–4 marks	Clear understanding of the wider contexts and critical debates raised in the question 3–4 marks	Media terminology is used appropriately, to make clear points 3–4 marks	Clear analysis of texts from one or more case study is used to respond appropriately Occasional references to relevant theories, not always accurately used or understood 3–4 marks	Clear and appropriate selection of examples from a range of texts 3–4 marks

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025

AO1: Demonstrate knowledge and understanding of media concepts, contexts and critical debates, using terminology appropriately. **18 marks**			**AO2: Analyse media products, and evaluate their own work, by applying knowledge and understanding of theoretical and creative approaches, to explain how meaning is created, supported with relevant textual evidence.** **12 marks**	
Media Concepts **6 marks**	**Contexts & Critical Debates** **6 marks**	**Use of Terminology** **6 marks**	**Analysis of how meaning is created, including use of theory** **6 marks**	**Use of Examples** **6 marks**
Basic understanding of and minimal reference to any key concepts 1–2 marks	Minimal understanding of the wider contexts or critical debates raised in the question 1–2 marks	Basic use of media terminology, with frequent errors which impede communication 1–2 marks	Basic analysis, from case studies which may not be appropriate to the question Minimal references to even basic media theory 1–2 marks	Basic and minimal selection of examples, may lack relevance in parts 1–2 marks
No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks	No creditable content 0 marks

© Cambridge University Press & Assessment 2025